

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/078,496	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LUCKE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Charles G Freay	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746

**All Participants:**

(1) Charles G Freay.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James LaBarre.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 April 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . . . .

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*claim 32*

Prior art documents discussed:

#### Part II.

##### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The examiner called to inform Mr. LaBarre regarding a minor change to claim 32. In claim 32 "the" will be inserted after "that" for the purpose of antecedent basis.*

#### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)